

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 04853.0121 10/519,212 12/27/2004 Katsuhisa Mitsuhashi 7322 7590 **EXAMINER** 22852 05/08/2006 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LILLING, HERBERT J ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413 1651 DATE MAILED: 05/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/519,212	MITSUHASHI ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		HERBERT J. LILLING	1651
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Properson of the properties of t	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Dienoeiti	on of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 and 23 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 13 and 23 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-21 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) 13 and 23 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers			
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>			
2)  Notice 3)  Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/519,212 Page 2

Art Unit: 1651

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed April 19, 2006.

2. Claim 1-21 and 23 are now pending in this application.

Claim 22 has been cancelled.

- 3. Claims 13 and 23 have been withdrawn from consideration.
- 4. This application contains claims 13 and 23 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. December 18, 2005. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

## The following rejections have been maintained:

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

# i.) NON-ENABLING DISCLOSURE

Claims 3 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention with respect to the strain FC 58 or FERM BP-8388.

It is apparent that the strain is required to practice the claimed invention(s) as recited in the

Art Unit: 1651

claims. As a required element it must be known and readily available to the public or obtainable by a repeatable method set forth in the specification. If it is not so obtainable or available, the enablement requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, may be satisfied by a deposit of the strain in accordance with U.S.Rules. See 37 C. F. R. 1.802.

The specification does not provide a repeatable method for obtaining **the strain(s)** and it does not appear to be a readily available material. Deposit of the strain would satisfy the enablement requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112. If a deposit has been made, Applicant is required to meet the necessary criteria of the deposit rules in accordance with 37 CFR 1.801-37 CFR 1.809.

If a deposit has not been supplied or made under the Budapest Treaty, then an affidavit or declaration by Applicants or someone associated with the patent owner who is in a position to make such assurances, or a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature, stating that the deposit has been made under the terms of the Budapest Treaty and that all restrictions imposed by the depositor on the availability to the public of the deposited material will be irrevocably removed upon the granting of a patent, would satisfy the deposit requirements, See 37 CFR 1.808.

If a deposit is not made under the terms of the Budapest Treaty, then an affidavit or declaration by Applicants or someone associated with the patent owner who is in a position to make such assurances, or a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature, stating that the deposit has been made at an acceptable depository and that the following criteria have been met:

a) during the pendency of the application, access to the deposit will be afforded to one determined by the Commissioner to be entitled thereto;

Application/Control Number: 10/519,212

Art Unit: 1651

b) all restrictions imposed by the depositor on the availability to the public of the deposited material will be irrevocably removed upon the granting of a patent;

c) the deposit will be maintained for a term of at least thirty (30) years and at least five (5) years after the most recent request for the furnishing of a sample of the deposited material;

d) a viability statement in accordance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.807;

and

Page 4

e) the deposit will be replaced should it become necessary due to inviability, contamination or loss of capability to function n the manner described in the specification.

In addition, the identifying information set forth in 37 CFR 1.809(d) should be added to the specification, See 37 CFR 1.803-37 CFR 1.809 for additional explanations of these requirements.

### ii) BEST MODE

Claims 1-12 and 14-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the best mode contemplated by the inventor has not been disclosed. Evidence of the best mode is based upon the examples whereby there is a comparison of the various species, which demonstrates that the FC 58 or accession number FERM-8388 clearly is superior to the other species. One can not practice the invention based on the above paragraph non-enabling disclosure.

Applicant is able to overcome the above rejections by the submission of the one sentence pertaining to the availability of the strain(s) in accordance with US Patent Rules pertaining to deposits:

Application/Control Number: 10/519,212

Art Unit: 1651

----- Applicant(s) state that all restrictions imposed by the depositor on the availability to the public of the deposited material will be irrevocably removed upon the granting of a patent

Page 5

#### 7. No claim is allowed.

8. concerning communication Any inquiry this communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Lilling whose telephone number is 571-272-0918 and Fax Number is (703) 872-9306 or SPE Michael Wityshyn whose telephone number is 571-272-0926. Examiner can be reached Monday-Thursday from about 5:30 A.M. to about 3:00 P.M. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H.J.Lilling: HJL (571) 272-0918 Art Unit 1651 February 01, 2006

Primar Examiner

Group 1600 Art Unit 1651